Active dendritic integration as a mechanism for robust and precise grid cell firing

Christoph Schmidt-Hieber^{1,2,5}, Gabija Toleikyte^{1,5}, Laurence Aitchison³, Arnd Roth¹, Beverley A Clark¹, Tiago Branco^{1,4} & Michael Häusser¹

Understanding how active dendrites are exploited for behaviorally relevant computations is a fundamental challenge in neuroscience. Grid cells in medial entorhinal cortex are an attractive model system for addressing this question, as the computation they perform is clear: they convert synaptic inputs into spatially modulated, periodic firing. Whether active dendrites contribute to the generation of the dual temporal and rate codes characteristic of grid cell output is unknown. We show that dendrites of medial entorhinal cortex neurons are highly excitable and exhibit a supralinear input–output function *in vitro*, while *in vivo* recordings reveal membrane potential signatures consistent with recruitment of active dendritic conductances. By incorporating these nonlinear dynamics into grid cell models, we show that they can sharpen the precision of the temporal code and enhance the robustness of the rate code, thereby supporting a stable, accurate representation of space under varying environmental conditions. Our results suggest that active dendrites may therefore constitute a key cellular mechanism for ensuring reliable spatial navigation.

Active, voltage-dependent conductances in neuronal dendrites transform the relationship between synaptic input and neuronal output^{1,2}. The resulting enrichment of the integration capabilities of single neurons has long been suggested as being exploited for computations relevant to behavior^{3,4}. However, the details of how specific active dendritic mechanisms are involved in behavioral computations have proved to be elusive. Recent work has provided evidence that the active properties of dendrites are engaged in somatosensory and visual cortical neurons during sensory processing^{5–8}. Moreover, dendritic nonlinearities also appear to be activated during behavioral tasks involving spatial navigation^{9–11}. While these studies have provided important correlative evidence that dendritic mechanisms are engaged under various circumstances, they do not provide a quantitative link for explaining how specific biophysical mechanisms can contribute to behavioral computations.

Grid cells in medial entorhinal cortex (MEC) represent a particularly attractive model system for linking cellular and circuit mechanisms to a behaviorally relevant computation. Grid cells exhibit a striking spatial code, with firing fields that span the environment of a navigating animal in a periodic hexagonal pattern, and have thus been proposed as representing a neural mechanism for path integration¹². Moreover, there exist several well-developed single-cell and network models of grid cell generation^{13–19}, providing a rigorous quantitative framework for understanding how particular biophysical mechanisms relate to the computation of spatial location. Layer 2 of MEC (MECII) contains the highest proportion of grid cells^{20,21}, and stellate cells, which form most of the MECII principal cell population²², are likely grid cell candidates^{17,18,23,24}. In stellate cells, the correlation between somatically recorded synaptic responses and the dorsoventral gradient in grid spacing in MECII^{25,26} has suggested that intrinsic voltagegated conductances may be important for generating grid cell firing. However, very little is known about whether the dendrites of stellate cells are electrically excitable, and thus their contribution to grid cell firing is unclear.

Here we have combined *in vitro* two-photon glutamate uncaging and *in vivo* patch-clamp recording with modeling to assess the role of active dendrites in grid cell firing. We show that stellate cells have active dendrites that perform supralinear input–output transformations *in vitro*. We also identify electrophysiological signatures consistent with active dendritic integration *in vivo*, including membrane potential-dependent boosting of excitatory postsynaptic potentials (EPSPs) and plateau potentials. We place our results in the context of single-cell and network models of grid cell firing and use modeling to show that active dendrites can promote the robustness of the grid cell rate code while sharpening the precision of the temporal phase precession code. Thus, dendrites of principal cells in MECII are highly excitable, and these active dendritic properties can enhance the accuracy and stability of the spatial map represented by grid cell firing.

RESULTS

Supralinear integration in dendrites of MECII principal neurons

To assess how grid cells integrate synaptic inputs in single dendritic branches, we performed two-photon glutamate uncaging on dendritic spines of principal neurons in MECII (**Fig. 1a**). Activation of individual spines in MECII stellate cells produced glutamate uncagingevoked EPSPs comparable to physiological synaptic responses (**Fig. 1b**)

Received 2 March; accepted 7 May; published online 19 June 2017; doi:10.1038/nn.4582

¹Wolfson Institute for Biomedical Research and Department of Neuroscience, Physiology and Pharmacology, University College London, London, UK. ²Institut Pasteur, Paris, France. ³Gatsby Computational Neuroscience Unit, University College London, London, UK. ⁴Sainsbury Wellcome Centre, University College London, London, UK. ⁵These authors contributed equally to this paper. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.S.-H. (christoph.schmidt-hieber@pasteur.fr) or M.H. (m.hausser@ucl.ac.uk).

and **Supplementary Fig. 1**). Activating increasing numbers of spines along a single dendrite or on two nearby dendrites evoked responses that were larger than the arithmetic sum of the corresponding individual responses ($64 \pm 8\%$ supralinearity for intervals of ≤ 1 ms; n = 34dendritic branches; **Fig. 1b,c** and **Supplementary Fig. 2**). Since recent data suggest that some MECII pyramidal cells may also display grid cell firing^{24,27}, we also carried out experiments on MECII pyramidal cells and obtained similar results (**Fig. 1d** and **Supplementary Fig. 3**). The degree of supralinearity in stellate cells did not significantly scale with distance from the soma (**Supplementary Fig. 4**) but was reduced to $10 \pm 9\%$ (n = 6) when the intervals between spine activations were extended to 8 ms, demonstrating that it depends on millisecond timing of the inputs (**Fig. 1d**).

Dendritic spikes and supralinearity depend on Nav and NMDAR channels

Activation of some dendritic branches produced clear signatures of dendritic spikes detected at the soma^{28,29}. Dendritic spikes with fast and slow time-courses and distinct thresholds were observed (**Fig. 2a**), and application of pharmacological blockers revealed that these were generated by activation of voltage-gated sodium (Nav) channels and NMDA receptors (NMDARs), respectively (**Fig. 2b** and **Supplementary Fig. 5**). The NMDA receptor antagonist 2-amino-5-phosphonopentanoic acid (AP5) alone could also abolish fast spikes, indicating that NMDAR current was required to reach the threshold for Nav channel activation and that NMDARs and Nav channels thus acted cooperatively. This is also supported by the fact that a substantial fraction of fast spikes (43%) were followed by a slow spike, and many slow spikes (38%) were preceded by a fast spike. Both NMDAR channels, and to a lesser degree Nav channels, contributed to supralinearity (**Fig. 2c**).

Testing the contribution of nonlinear dendritic integration under *in vivo* conditions

To probe the contribution of dendritic nonlinearities in MECII stellate cells during more physiological in vivo-like conditions, we took advantage of the fact that the voltage profile underlying grid cell firing in vivo is represented by a ramp-like membrane potential depolarization^{17,18}. We approximated this ramp-like depolarization in vitro by injecting a scaled current waveform that we had obtained from voltage-clamp recordings using the in vivo ramp as a voltage command (Online Methods). This allowed us to examine how in vivo-like membrane potential dynamics influenced dendritic integration of synaptic inputs. Both supralinear summation and dendritic spikes were more pronounced when uncaging was performed during the in vivo-like ramps (Supplementary Fig. 6), suggesting that these active events were likely to be engaged in vivo when an animal entered a grid firing field. Taken together, these results reveal that the dendrites of grid cells are highly excitable, exhibiting markedly nonlinear input-output functions in a manner that could transform the integration of synaptic inputs during navigational behavior.

Signatures of active dendrites in stellate cell recordings *in vivo* To determine whether active dendritic integration occurs in grid cells *in vivo*, we searched for the signatures of supralinear dendritic integration, as identified in our slice recordings, in patch-clamp recordings from MECII neurons of mice navigating in virtual reality¹⁸ (**Fig. 3**). By examining the differentiated membrane potential traces (dV/dt), we identified large peaks that were below the voltage threshold for action potentials and that were preferentially clustered around the peak of theta membrane potential oscillations (**Fig. 3a**), at a similar



Figure 1 Supralinear synaptic integration in MEC principal neurons. (a) Two-photon image of a MECII stellate cell filled with Alexa Fluor 594 via somatic patch-clamp recording. Inset shows the selected dendrite and the uncaging locations (red spots). (b) Somatic voltage responses to increasing number of stimulated synapses (indicated in the inset in a). Top traces show arithmetic sums expected from the individual responses; bottom traces show recorded responses (15 spines, 0.6-ms stimulation interval). (c) The amplitudes of somatically recorded glutamate uncaging-evoked EPSPs (gluEPSPs) were markedly larger than the arithmetic sum of the individual responses (dashed lines indicate unity). Top, single experiment (as in a and b); bottom, summary of 34 experiments. Gray lines represent individual experiments; the black line connects binned averages across experiments (red). Error bars represent s.e.m. (d) Nonlinearity depends on the interval between uncaging events (*P < 0.05). No significant difference (ns) in the degree of supralinearity was found between stellate cells (SC) and pyramidal cells (PC) of MECII (at ≤ 1 -ms stimulation intervals). Nonlinearity in stellate cells at different stimulation intervals: 8 ms, 10 \pm 9% (n = 6); 4 ms, 56 ± 17% (n = 11); ≤1 ms, 64 ± 8% (n = 34); one-way ANOVA for different stimulation intervals, P = 0.03, F = 3.71; nonlinearity in pyramidal cells at stimulation intervals ≤ 1 ms: 49 \pm 12% (n = 9; Mann-Whitney U test, P = 0.42 compared to stellate cells).

theta phase as action potentials during grid field crossings^{17,18}. These dV/dt peaks *in vivo* were comparable in amplitude to dV/dt peaks of glutamate uncaging-evoked EPSPs exhibiting dendritic spikes *in vitro* (**Fig. 2a** and **Supplementary Fig. 7**). The *in vivo* dV/dt peaks were correlated with large depolarizations in $V_{\rm m}$ with amplitudes of up to ~6 mV (**Fig. 3b**), consistent with them being EPSPs that were boosted by activation of voltage-dependent conductances.

To probe the mechanisms underlying these dV/dt signatures in more detail, we used our experimental results to constrain a detailed compartmental model of MECII stellate cells that captures their measured passive, active and synaptic properties (Supplementary Fig. 8). Simulating grid cell firing in a model incorporating dendritic Nav and NMDAR channels reproduced the experimentally observed dV/dt signatures, including the correlation of dV/dt peak amplitudes with V_m (Fig. 3c). When dendritic Nav and NMDAR channels were removed from the model, these signatures were abolished (Fig. 3c). In our in vivo experimental data, the fastest-rising events occurred within the 90° phase-bin preceding the peak of theta membrane potential oscillations (MPOs; Fig. 3d,f). This phase-bin also contained a larger fraction of particularly fast events with maximal rates of rise resembling or exceeding the maximal rates of rise of fast dendritic spikes that we observed during our somatic in vitro recordings (Fig. 3f). These observations could also be faithfully reproduced by the model generating dendritic Na⁺ spikes in the presence of dendritic Nav channels but not in their absence (Fig. 3e,g).



Figure 2 Supralinear integration and dendritic spikes depend on voltage-gated sodium (Nav) and NMDA receptor channels. (a) Left: examples of fast (orange arrows) and slow (blue arrows) dendritic spikes. Right: plots of d V/dt against number of uncaging locations. Fast dendritic spikes cause a step-like increase in dV/dt (arrows). (b) Top: fast dendritic spikes are present in $21 \pm 7\%$ (n = 34) of all recordings and abolished when Nav channels are blocked with tetrodotoxin (TTX) and/or when NMDARs are blocked with AP5. Bottom: slow dendritic spikes are present in 26 \pm 7% (*n* = 34) of all recordings, still present in TTX (29 \pm 17%; n = 7; Fisher's exact test, P = 1.0; ns, not significant) and abolished when NMDARs are blocked with AP5. Uncaging interval ≤ 1 ms. Individual data points (not indicated in the figure) are either zeroes (no spikes were observed in a recording) or ones (spikes were observed in a recording). Bar graphs indicate the percentage of recordings containing spikes; error bars represent s.d., estimated by Monte Carlo methods. (c) Application of TTX and AP5 reduces or abolishes supralinear dendritic integration (*P < 0.05). Gray dots represent individual recordings. Control: $64 \pm 8\%$ (*n* = 34); TTX: $37 \pm 11\%$ (*n* = 7; Mann-Whitney *U* test, P = 0.09 compared to control); AP5: $10 \pm 19\%$ (n = 3; Mann-Whitney U test, P = 0.03 compared to control). TTX+AP5: $11 \pm 3\%$ (n = 3; Mann-Whitney U test, P = 0.01 compared to control). One-way ANOVA, P = 0.03, F = 3.40.

To further quantify the contribution of nonlinear dendritic conductances to grid cell firing, we compared NMDAR activation and dendritic input currents in detailed compartmental models of stellate cells with active or passive dendrites (**Supplementary Fig. 9**). These simulations showed that during grid cell firing, with V_m trajectories representative of our *in vivo* recordings, NMDARs were substantially recruited (**Supplementary Fig. 9a**) and dendritic input currents were nonlinearly amplified by active, voltage-dependent conductances (**Supplementary Fig. 9b**). Furthermore, the membrane potential distributions in putative dendritic recordings *in vivo* covered the nonlinear range of the NMDAR open-probability curve, implying that NMDARs were nonlinearly engaged *in vivo* (**Supplementary Fig. 10**). In summary, we observed clear signatures of active dendritic integration in the membrane potential of MECII principal neurons during navigational behavior *in vivo*.

Plateau potentials during putative dendritic *in vivo* stellate cell recordings

Next, we looked for direct evidence for activation of regenerative events in stellate cell dendrites *in vivo*. Excitable dendrites have been shown to produce long-lasting regenerative plateau potentials in several cell types, such as neocortical pyramidal cells³⁰ and hippoc-ampal CA1 pyramidal cells *in vitro*³¹ and *in vivo*^{11,32}. We identified similar distinctive signatures of excitable dendrites in putative dendritic patch-clamp recordings from MEC neurons *in vivo* (**Fig. 4** and **Supplementary Fig. 11**). Dendritic recordings were identified by a range of characteristic features^{6,33} such as slower action potential rise



Figure 3 Engagement of active dendritic conductances in MECII principal neurons in vivo. (a) Left: membrane potential (V_m ; top), theta membrane potential oscillations (theta MPOs; middle, green trace) and differentiated membrane potential (d V/dt; bottom) during a firing field crossing in a MECII neuron (experimental data from Schmidt-Hieber and Häusser¹⁸). Gray dashed vertical lines indicate peaks (0° phase) of theta MPOs. Right: membrane potentials and differentiated membrane potentials at higher magnification for two episodes corresponding to the horizontal bars at the bottom of the traces on the left. Filled circles on d V/dt traces indicate peaks in d V/dt that are below (black) or above (red) the mean + 1.5 s.d. of all peaks within 90° bins of theta MPO phases. (b) Plot of peaks in membrane potential against corresponding peaks in d V/dt for the recording shown in a. Colors as in a. Green line represents a linear regression (r = 0.49; $P < 10^{-5}$; n = 8,265 peaks). (c) As in b, for a detailed compartmental model of a MECII stellate cell with active (left; r = 0.45; $P < 10^{-5}$; n = 14,411 peaks) or passive dendrites (right; r = 0.31; $P < 10^{-5}$; n = 25,228 peaks). See Online Methods for model details. (d) Plot of peaks in d V/dt against the phase of theta MPOs for the recording shown in a. Colors as in a. Yellow filled circles represent binned averages. (e) As in d, for compartmental model data with active (left) or passive dendrites (right) as in **c**. (f) Analysis of n = 6 stellate cell recordings (data from Schmidt-Hieber & Häusser¹⁸). Peaks in d V/dt (top) and fraction of dV/dt peaks exceeding mean + 1.5 s.d. of all peaks within 90° bins of theta MPOs (bottom) are plotted against theta MPO phase. Black lines and symbols, average data. Error bars represent s.e.m. Colored lines and symbols show individual recordings. (g) As in f, for compartmental model data with active (black) or with passive dendrites (blue).



Figure 4 Plateau potentials suggest that active dendritic conductances are engaged in vivo. (a) Example of a putative somatic recording from a MEC neuron in vivo. Plateau potentials (arrow) occur spontaneously (left; top trace, animal speed; bottom trace, membrane potential) but not during current injections (right; top trace, membrane potential; bottom trace, injected current). (b) Example of a putative dendritic recording from a MEC neuron in vivo. Plateau potentials (arrows) occur both spontaneously (left; top trace, animal speed; bottom trace, membrane potential) and upon current injections (right; top traces, membrane potential; bottom trace, injected current). Inset (top right) shows an enlarged view of an evoked plateau potential. (c) Frequency and duration of plateau potentials correlate with parameters that are characteristic of dendritic recordings. Plateau frequencies per action potential (top) and plateau durations (bottom) are significantly correlated with rise times of action potentials (left) and input resistance (right). Black lines represent linear regressions (n = 58 recordings). (d) Summary bar graphs of evoked and spontaneous plateau frequencies per action potential in (left) putative somatic (evoked, $0.4 \pm 0.4\%$; spontaneous, $1.0 \pm 1.0\%$, n = 6) and (right) dendritic recordings (evoked, $14.7 \pm 7.2\%$; spontaneous, 1.9 \pm 1.9%, *n* = 6). Filled gray circles represent individual recordings. Error bars represent s.e.m.

times and higher input resistances (Supplementary Fig. 12). Distinct, long-lasting plateau depolarizations could be observed following action potentials in both putative dendritic and somatic recordings (Fig. 4a,b). These plateau potentials occurred either spontaneously (Fig. 4a,b and Supplementary Fig. 13) or could be evoked by current injection in putative dendritic recordings (Fig. 4b). We found that both the frequency (the percentage of action potentials followed by plateau potentials) and the duration of evoked plateau potentials were well-correlated with parameters that are also indicative of dendritic recordings (Fig. 4c), suggesting that they could be preferentially evoked in distal dendrites. While the frequency of evoked plateau potentials per action potential was more than an order of magnitude higher in putative dendritic than in somatic recordings from stellate cells in vivo (14.7 \pm 7.2% of action potentials followed by plateau potentials in putative dendrites, n = 6, versus $0.4 \pm 0.4\%$ in soma, n = 6; P < 0.05), spontaneous plateaus occurred at comparable frequencies $(1.9 \pm 1.9\%)$ in putative dendrites, n = 6, versus $1.0 \pm 1.0\%$ in soma, n = 6; P = 0.22; Fig. 4d). Distinct plateau potentials could be evoked by current injections in 4 of 6 putative dendritic recordings but only in 1 of 6 putative somatic recordings (Fig. 4d). The results of these in vivo recordings indicate that plateau potentials could be evoked by strong, localized inputs to dendrites of grid cells and were readily detectable at their site of origin in the dendrite. However, plateau potentials were rarer and less prominent in somatic recordings and appeared to be substantially attenuated as they propagated to the soma from their origin in the dendritic tree. We further probed the biophysical mechanisms underlying dendritic plateau potentials using our active compartmental model of stellate cells. Strong, localized synaptic inputs to a dendrite of the stellate cell model could produce plateau potentials near the site of synaptic input that were similar to those observed in our putative dendritic recordings (Supplementary Fig. 14a). These dendritic plateau potentials were strongly attenuated as they propagated to other dendrites and to the soma, making them readily detectable only close to the dendritic location of the active synaptic inputs (Supplementary Fig. 14a). To produce a plateau potential detectable at the soma, a large number of simultaneously activated strong synaptic inputs had to be distributed across the dendritic tree (Supplementary Fig. 14b). The rare occurrence of strong, synchronous activation of many synapses, along with the pronounced attenuation of plateau potentials along the dendritic tree, can therefore explain why they were only rarely observed as spontaneously occurring events. Thus, despite their low rate, the presence of dendritic plateau potentials in vivo provides strong evidence that the active dendritic conductances underlying nonlinear integration in vitro can be recruited by synaptic input in vivo.

Slow supralinear integration promotes the robustness of the grid cell rate code

To provide a quantitative framework for understanding the contribution of active dendrites to generating the grid cell rate code, we used a rate-based continuous attractor network (CAN) model. Given that NMDAR activation underpins the various types of dendritic nonlinearities we discovered in grid cells, we implemented NMDARs as a slow, cooperative, supralinear integration mechanism in an existing CAN model of grid cell firing¹³, which allowed us to efficiently explore a large range of parameters and noise amplitudes (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Fig. 9). Adding NMDARs to the CAN model strongly enhanced grid cell firing in the presence of noise, measured by a range of metrics (Fig. 5a-h). Across a wide range of noise amplitudes, NMDARs reduced network drift and improved the network's velocity response, resulting in higher gridness scores (Fig. 5i,j). Simulations in which we independently incorporated either supralinearity or a slow time-constant indicated that both mechanisms must act in concert to improve network performance (Supplementary Fig. 15). The robustness of these findings was confirmed by a spiking attractor network model consisting of integrate-and-fire neurons³⁴, which also produces the best velocity response and the highest gridness scores when both mechanisms are engaged (Supplementary Fig. 16). Using actual animal trajectories to drive the rate-based model, we found that an NMDAR decay time constant of ~50 ms produced a minimum in velocity error and a maximum in gridness (Fig. 5j). This optimum is remarkably consistent with the NMDAR decay time constant we measured experimentally in stellate cells (46 ± 5 ms, n = 4; Supplementary Fig. 17). Simulations using an artificially slowed animal trajectory (Fig. 5j) indicate that the optimum depends on a realistic animal velocity driving the network response. Thus, NMDAR kinetics optimized for animal velocity can produce marked robustness to noise in a CAN model of grid cell firing.

ARTICLES



Figure 5 Active dendrites in MECII neurons can enhance the robustness of the rate code of grid cell firing. (**a**,**b**) CAN model simulations were performed either in the absence (**a**) or the presence (**b**) of a slow supralinear integration mechanism, as provided by NMDARs ($\tau_{NMDA} = 50$ ms). (**c**,**d**) Left: animal trajectory (blue; data from Hafting *et al.*¹²) and CAN network prediction of animal position in the absence (**c**) (black) or in the presence (**d**) (red) of NMDARs are superimposed. Right: color-coded spatial rate maps for an example model neuron in the absence (**c**) or in the presence (**d**) of NMDARs. (**e**,**f**) Left: correlation between rotated autocorrelation maps plotted against the rotation angle. Simulations were performed in the absence (**e**) or in the presence (**f**) of NMDARs. Right: autocorrelation matrices of the spatial rate maps shown in **c** and **d**, respectively. (**g**) To quantify network drift, mean squared displacement is plotted as a function of simulation time interval in the absence of velocity inputs in the absence (black) or in the presence (red) of NMDARs. (**h**) Absolute difference between animal velocity and optimally scaled network velocity plotted against time in the absence (black) or in the presence (red) of NMDARs. (**h**) Absolute digramst noise s.d. for CAN network simulations with (red symbols) or without (black symbols) NMDARs. (**j**) The same quantities as in **i** plotted against τ_{NMDA} . Simulations were performed using either the original animal trajectory (red symbols) or a version that was slowed by a factor of 2 (blue symbols). In the simulations in **a**–**h**, noise s.d. = 1.6, and error bars represent s.e.m. of 7 simulations, which were initialized with different pseudorandom number generator seeds.

Supralinear integration sharpens the precision of phase precession

Finally, we investigated how the active dendritic conductances we revealed in stellate cells can contribute to the temporal phase precession code of grid cell firing³⁵. To determine the precise spike timing produced by oscillatory synaptic inputs, we drove our detailed compartmental MECII stellate cell model (Supplementary Fig. 8) by realistic synaptic input patterns derived from a hybrid CAN and oscillatory interference model based on in vivo recordings from grid cells¹⁸ (Fig. 6). While the model only produced weak phase precession in the absence of dendritic Nav channels (Fig. 6a-c), phase precession was strikingly precise when Nav channels were present in the dendrites (Fig. 6d-f). While the fast supralinearity provided by Nav channels was sufficient to sharpen phase precession even in the absence of distinct isolated regenerative events, the effect could further be enhanced if the model cell produced full-blown fast dendritic spikes (data not shown). Further analysis showed that dendritic Nav channels can sharpen phase precession by shortening the suprathreshold part of membrane potential oscillations, enabling precisely timed spikes across the full extent of a grid firing field (Supplementary

Fig. 18). In agreement with this analysis, adjusting the width of grid fields by increasing excitatory drive in the model without dendritic Nav channels failed to improve phase precession, as more mistimed spikes were produced in the center of the grid field (Supplementary Fig. 19). Thus, our combined modeling results show that active dendritic conductances can both stabilize the rate code and sharpen the temporal code of grid cell firing.

DISCUSSION

We provide evidence that the dendrites of MECII principal cells are electrically excitable and exhibit a range of nonlinear dynamics. Our results reveal that the biophysical origins of dendritic nonlinearities in these cells—dendritic Nav and NMDAR channels—may underpin the two key aspects of the grid cell code. Dendritic Nav channels restrict the time window for action potential generation in an oscillatory interference model of phase precession, thereby improving the precision of the temporal code. NMDARs in turn improve the robustness of the grid attractor in a rate-based model of grid cell firing. Together, our results provide strong evidence that active dendrites make a critical contribution to a key behaviorally relevant computation

ARTICLES



Figure 6 Active dendrites in MECII neurons can promote the precision of the temporal code of grid cell firing. (**a**,**d**) A detailed compartmental model of a MECII stellate cell was used to simulate grid cell firing in a hybrid CAN and oscillatory interference model with passive (**a**) or active (**d**) dendrites (see Online Methods for details). Left: voltage-gated sodium conductance density plotted against dendritic distance from soma. Right: color-coded firing rate maps. (**b**,**e**) Example traces of simulated somatic membrane potential during a firing field crossing in the model with passive (**b**) and with active (**e**) dendrites. Raster plots at top show timings of excitatory velocity-controlled oscillators (VCOs, red) and inhibitory ramp inputs (blue). (**c**,**f**) While phase precession degraded in the model with (**c**) passive dendrites (*n* = 80 spikes), the model with (**f**) active dendrites (*n* = 153 spikes) produced clear phase precession of spikes with reference to the LFP. Red lines represent circular–linear regressions.

in the mammalian brain. Our simulations also provide a general framework for understanding how active dendritic computations can stabilize attractor networks.

Active dendrites in MECII principal cells

Although principal cells in MECII, which form a large part of the grid cell population, have been shown to exhibit a rich variety of nonlinear excitability in somatic recordings^{19,25,36}, there has been no direct information available about the contribution of dendritic excitability to the active properties of grid cells. Our *in vitro* experiments reveal that the dendrites of both types of principal neurons in MECII, stellate and pyramidal cells, exhibited nonlinear input–output functions and could trigger dendritic spikes mediated by both voltage-gated sodium channels and NMDA receptor channels. Moreover, they demonstrate that these nonlinearities could be further enhanced by *in vivo*-like membrane potential trajectories that underpin grid cell firing.

Our *in vivo* experiments strengthen and complement our *in vitro* results and provide multiple signatures of nonlinear dendritic integration in MEC neurons during spatial navigation. First, EPSPs were boosted at the peak of the MPOs when the neuron was depolarized, consistent with our *in vitro* experiments, as well as with predictions from a model with active dendrites (**Fig. 3**). Second, comparing a stellate cell model with nonlinear or linear dendritic conductances, we found that dendritic nonlinearities were robustly activated during simulations of grid cell firing (**Supplementary Fig. 9**). Third, we observed nonlinear plateau potentials in putative dendritic whole-cell recordings from MECII neurons. We found that plateau potentials frequently occurred with dendritic but not somatic current injections,

whereas spontaneous plateaus occurred with similar probability in somatic and dendritic recordings, indicating that the plateaus were of dendritic origin. Plateau potentials have been observed as a signature of dendritic excitability in other cell types³⁰, particularly in CA1 pyramidal cells^{11,32}, where dendritic plateau potentials can positively modulate existing or induce new place fields¹¹. Together, our modeling and experimental data suggest that the dendrites of grid cells are electrically highly excitable and that the resulting nonlinearities can be engaged *in vivo* during grid cell firing in mice performing a spatial navigation task.

How do active dendrites improve grid cell firing?

Our modeling results show that active dendrites can enhance both the rate and temporal code of grid cell firing. First, we show that incorporating a nonlinear NMDAR conductance, with characteristics matching those underpinning the nonlinearities in our in vitro experiments, in a CAN model of grid cell firing can reduce network drift and improve the network's velocity response, resulting in improved gridness. Our simulations show that this enhancement of gridness arises from a synergistic interaction between two cardinal features of the nonlinear NMDAR conductance. First, NMDAR activation depends nonlinearly on the amplitude of the synaptic inputs that a neuron receives, increasing the gain of the neural transfer function preferentially in active neurons receiving strong spatial inputs³⁷. Second, the slow decay time constant of NMDARs allows active neurons to average signals over a longer time (see also refs. 38-40), reducing the contribution of noise³⁸ and stabilizing the attractor. Notably, the stabilizing effect of NMDARs depends on the noise amplitude (Fig. 5i). Thus, acute blockade of NMDARs in grid cells should most strongly disrupt grid firing in novel environments, where spatial inputs are expected to be imprecise⁴¹.

Our detailed compartmental model indicates that active dendrites also enhance phase precession, the signature of the temporal code of grid cell firing. Our simulations show that the mechanism underlying this effect operates by curtailing the suprathreshold part of the membrane potential trajectory during membrane potential oscillations. This ensures that spikes can occur only within a narrow time window, sharpening the precision of spike timing and thus the robustness of phase precession across the entire grid firing field. Notably, the effect of active dendrites on phase precession does not require the activation of full-blown dendritic spikes: even a model exhibiting subthreshold recruitment of nonlinearities demonstrates enhanced phase precession. Moreover, the model can reproduce the experimentally observed phase precession of both spikes and theta MPOs^{18,19}. The simplicity and robustness of this mechanism suggests that it may generalize to other phase precession models relying on coincidence of oscillations, such as those that have been proposed for CA1 pyramidal cells⁴²⁻⁴⁴.

Our findings suggest that dendritic nonlinearities are key elements in the creation of a stable grid code and are therefore critical for navigation. This complements recent work proposing that dendritic nonlinear events may contribute to behaviorally relevant computations in single neurons^{5–8}. In hippocampal place cells, *in vivo* two-photon imaging has revealed dendritic Ca²⁺ transients that are correlated with their place field properties9, and intracellular recordings from place cells suggest that dendritic nonlinearities may contribute to defining the spatial tuning of a neuron^{10,11}. Together with our *in vivo* results indicating that dendritic nonlinearities may also be engaged in MECII stellate cells during virtual navigation (Figs. 3 and 4), this suggests that active dendrites may provide a general mechanism for strengthening spatial representations at the single-cell level. Notably, the stabilizing role that we describe for active dendrites in a CAN may also generalize to other circuits that display attractor dynamics, both in the hippocampus^{45,46} and in other brain areas⁴⁷.

Why use active dendrites to enhance circuit computations?

Active dendrites offer several key advantages over alternative mechanisms-such as tuning somatic and axonal excitability, or excitation-inhibition balance-for improving the signal-to-noise ratio during grid cell firing. The nonlinear voltage-dependence of NMDAR activation exhibits exquisite sensitivity, being engaged already by a small number of synapses due to the high dendritic input impedance (Supplementary Fig. 20)⁴⁸. At the same time, since dendritic synapses are electrotonically remote from the axonal site of action potential generation, nonlinear integration can proceed independently of somatic spiking^{49,50}. Moreover, by regulating the density of dendritic Nav channels and NMDARs, the threshold for supralinear integration can be widely adjusted over a large range of synaptic input frequencies to maximize the signal-to-noise ratio for grid cell firing. In contrast, changes in somatic and axonal excitability have only weak effects on the shape of the input-output transfer function of MECII principal neurons³⁶. Furthermore, dendritic nonlinearities provide versatility, permitting a range of flexible transfer functions depending on the combination of dendritic branches that are activated (Supplementary Fig. 2). This allows grid cells to gate relevant inputs and suppress irrelevant inputs (similarly to what has been suggested for place cells¹⁰). Finally, active dendrites may also provide a mechanism for shaping circuit wiring during development: early in the assembly of the entorhinal circuit, synaptic inputs that contact a nonlinear dendritic branch may be strengthened by engaging these nonlinearities and in turn define the grid properties of a neuron.

METHODS

Methods, including statements of data availability and any associated accession codes and references, are available in the online version of the paper.

Note: Any Supplementary Information and Source Data files are available in the online version of the paper.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to P. Latham, A. Packer and S. Turaga for discussions and comments on the manuscript, and to S. Chun for assistance with histology. This work was supported by grants from the Wellcome Trust (M.H.), ERC (StG 678790 NEWRON to C.S.-H. and AdG 695709 DendriteCircuits to M.H.) and Gatsby Charitable Foundation (M.H.).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

C.S.-H., A.R. and M.H. designed experiments and wrote the manuscript. C.S.-H. performed computational modeling, contributed to *in vitro* electrophysiology experiments and performed data analysis; G.T. performed *in vitro* experiments and data analysis. L.A. assisted with computational modeling. T.B. contributed to *in vitro* electrophysiology experiments and helped write the manuscript. M.H. supervised the project.

COMPETING FINANCIAL INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permissions information is available online at http://www.nature.com/ reprints/index.html. Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

- Magee, J.C. Dendritic integration of excitatory synaptic input. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 1, 181–190 (2000).
- Major, G., Larkum, M.E. & Schiller, J. Active properties of neocortical pyramidal neuron dendrites. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 36, 1–24 (2013).
- London, M. & Häusser, M. Dendritic computation. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 28, 503–532 (2005).
- Mel, B.W. Information processing in dendritic trees. *Neural Comput.* 6, 1031–1085 (1994).
- Lavzin, M., Rapoport, S., Polsky, A., Garion, L. & Schiller, J. Nonlinear dendritic processing determines angular tuning of barrel cortex neurons in vivo. *Nature* 490, 397–401 (2012).
- Smith, S.L., Smith, I.T., Branco, T. & Häusser, M. Dendritic spikes enhance stimulus selectivity in cortical neurons *in vivo*. *Nature* 503, 115–120 (2013).
- Xu, N.L. et al. Nonlinear dendritic integration of sensory and motor input during an active sensing task. Nature 492, 247–251 (2012).
- Takahashi, N., Oertner, T.G., Hegemann, P. & Larkum, M.E. Active cortical dendrites modulate perception. *Science* 354, 1587–1590 (2016).
- Sheffield, M.E. & Dombeck, D.A. Calcium transient prevalence across the dendritic arbour predicts place field properties. *Nature* 517, 200–204 (2015).
- 10. Lee, D., Lin, B.J. & Lee, A.K. Hippocampal place fields emerge upon single-cell manipulation of excitability during behavior. *Science* **337**, 849–853 (2012).
- Bittner, K.C. *et al.* Conjunctive input processing drives feature selectivity in hippocampal CA1 neurons. *Nat. Neurosci.* **18**, 1133–1142 (2015).
- Hafting, T., Fyhn, M., Molden, S., Moser, M.B. & Moser, E.I. Microstructure of a spatial map in the entorhinal cortex. *Nature* 436, 801–806 (2005).
- Burak, Y. & Fiete, I.R. Accurate path integration in continuous attractor network models of grid cells. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 5, e1000291 (2009).
- Burgess, N., Barry, C. & O'Keefe, J. An oscillatory interference model of grid cell firing. *Hippocampus* 17, 801–812 (2007).
- Fuhs, M.C. & Touretzky, D.S. A spin glass model of path integration in rat medial entorhinal cortex. J. Neurosci. 26, 4266–4276 (2006).
- Kropff, E. & Treves, A. The emergence of grid cells: intelligent design or just adaptation? *Hippocampus* 18, 1256–1269 (2008).
- Domnisoru, C., Kinkhabwala, A.A. & Tank, D.W. Membrane potential dynamics of grid cells. *Nature* 495, 199–204 (2013).
- Schmidt-Hieber, C. & Häusser, M. Cellular mechanisms of spatial navigation in the medial entorhinal cortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* 16, 325–331 (2013).
- Schmidt-Hieber, C. & Häusser, M. How to build a grid cell. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B* 369, 20120520 (2013).
- 20. Boccara, C.N. *et al.* Grid cells in pre- and parasubiculum. *Nat. Neurosci.* **13**, 987–994 (2010).
- Sargolini, F. *et al.* Conjunctive representation of position, direction, and velocity in entorhinal cortex. *Science* **312**, 758–762 (2006).
- Gatome, C.W., Slomianka, L., Lipp, H.P. & Amrein, I. Number estimates of neuronal phenotypes in layer II of the medial entorhinal cortex of rat and mouse. *Neuroscience* 170, 156–165 (2010).
- Zhang, S.J. *et al.* Optogenetic dissection of entorhinal-hippocampal functional connectivity. *Science* **340**, 1232627 (2013).

- Sun, C. et al. Distinct speed dependence of entorhinal island and ocean cells, including respective grid cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 112, 9466–9471 (2015).
- Garden, D.L., Dodson, P.D., O'Donnell, C., White, M.D. & Nolan, M.F. Tuning of synaptic integration in the medial entorhinal cortex to the organization of grid cell firing fields. *Neuron* 60, 875–889 (2008).
- Giocomo, L.M., Zilli, E.A., Fransén, E. & Hasselmo, M.E. Temporal frequency of subthreshold oscillations scales with entorhinal grid cell field spacing. *Science* 315, 1719–1722 (2007).
- Tang, Q. *et al.* Pyramidal and stellate cell specificity of grid and border representations in layer 2 of medial entorhinal cortex. *Neuron* 84, 1191–1197 (2014).
- Golding, N.L., Staff, N.P. & Spruston, N. Dendritic spikes as a mechanism for cooperative long-term potentiation. *Nature* **418**, 326–331 (2002).
- Losonczy, A. & Magee, J.C. Integrative properties of radial oblique dendrites in hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons. *Neuron* 50, 291–307 (2006).
- Schiller, J., Major, G., Koester, H.J. & Schiller, Y. NMDA spikes in basal dendrites of cortical pyramidal neurons. *Nature* 404, 285–289 (2000).
- Takahashi, H. & Magee, J.C. Pathway interactions and synaptic plasticity in the dendritic tuft regions of CA1 pyramidal neurons. *Neuron* 62, 102–111 (2009).
- Kamondi, A., Acsády, L. & Buzsáki, G. Dendritic spikes are enhanced by cooperative network activity in the intact hippocampus. *J. Neurosci.* 18, 3919– 3928 (1998).
- 33. Larkum, M.E. & Zhu, J.J. Signaling of layer 1 and whisker-evoked Ca²⁺ and Na⁺ action potentials in distal and terminal dendrites of rat neocortical pyramidal neurons in vitro and in vivo. *J. Neurosci.* 22, 6991–7005 (2002).
- 34. Pastoll, H., Solanka, L., van Rossum, M.C. & Nolan, M.F. Feedback inhibition enables θ -nested γ oscillations and grid firing fields. *Neuron* **77**, 141–154 (2013).
- Hafting, T., Fyhn, M., Bonnevie, T., Moser, M.B. & Moser, E.I. Hippocampusindependent phase precession in entorhinal grid cells. *Nature* 453, 1248–1252 (2008).
- Fernandez, F.R., Malerba, P. & White, J.A. Non-linear membrane properties in entorhinal cortical stellate cells reduce modulation of input-output responses by voltage fluctuations. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **11**, e1004188 (2015).

- Stringer, S.M., Trappenberg, T.P., Rolls, E.T. & de Araujo, I.E. Self-organizing continuous attractor networks and path integration: one-dimensional models of head direction cells. *Network* 13, 217–242 (2002).
- Mongillo, G., Barak, O. & Tsodyks, M. Synaptic theory of working memory. *Science* 319, 1543–1546 (2008).
- Papoutsi, A., Sidiropoulou, K. & Poirazi, P. Dendritic nonlinearities reduce network size requirements and mediate ON and OFF states of persistent activity in a PFC microcircuit model. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 10, e1003764 (2014).
- Wang, X.J. Synaptic basis of cortical persistent activity: the importance of NMDA receptors to working memory. J. Neurosci. 19, 9587–9603 (1999).
- Barry, C., Ginzberg, L.L., O'Keefe, J. & Burgess, N. Grid cell firing patterns signal environmental novelty by expansion. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 109, 17687–17692 (2012).
- Kamondi, A., Acsády, L., Wang, X.J. & Buzsáki, G. Theta oscillations in somata and dendrites of hippocampal pyramidal cells in vivo: activity-dependent phaseprecession of action potentials. *Hippocampus* 8, 244–261 (1998).
- Losonczy, A., Zemelman, B.V., Vaziri, A. & Magee, J.C. Network mechanisms of theta related neuronal activity in hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons. *Nat. Neurosci.* 13, 967–972 (2010).
- Magee, J.C. Dendritic mechanisms of phase precession in hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons. J. Neurophysiol. 86, 528–532 (2001).
- Samsonovich, A. & McNaughton, B.L. Path integration and cognitive mapping in a continuous attractor neural network model. J. Neurosci. 17, 5900–5920 (1997).
- 46. Zhang, K. Representation of spatial orientation by the intrinsic dynamics of the head-direction cell ensemble: a theory. *J. Neurosci.* **16**, 2112–2126 (1996).
- Goldberg, J.A., Rokni, U. & Sompolinsky, H. Patterns of ongoing activity and the functional architecture of the primary visual cortex. *Neuron* 42, 489–500 (2004).
- Branco, T. & Häusser, M. Synaptic integration gradients in single cortical pyramidal cell dendrites. *Neuron* 69, 885–892 (2011).
- Behabadi, B.F. & Mel, B.W. Mechanisms underlying subunit independence in pyramidal neuron dendrites. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 111, 498–503 (2014).
- Häusser, M., Major, G. & Stuart, G.J. Differential shunting of EPSPs by action potentials. Science 291, 138–141 (2001).

ONLINE METHODS

Slice preparation. Acute horizontal brain slices were prepared from 26-30-dold C57/BL6 male and female mice. Animals were briefly anesthetized with isoflurane before decapitation. All procedures were approved by the local Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Board and performed under license from the UK Home Office in accordance with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986. Slicing was performed in ice-cold sucrose solution, containing (in mM): NaCl 87, sucrose 75, glucose 25, NaHCO $_3$ 25, MgCl $_2$ 7, KCl 2.5, NaH $_2$ PO $_4$ 1.25 and CaCl₂ 0.5. For a period of 30 min immediately after slicing, slices were stored in preheated (32 °C) artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) with low Ca²⁺ and high Mg²⁺ concentrations, containing (in mM): NaCl 125, glucose 25, NaHCO₃ 26, MgCl₂ 7, KCl 2.5, NaH₂PO₄ 1.25 and CaCl₂ 0.5. Slices were subsequently stored at room temperature (20-25 °C). Experiments were performed in ACSF, containing (in mM): NaCl 125, glucose 25, NaHCO3 26, MgCl2 1, KCl 2.5, NaH2PO4 1.25 and CaCl₂ 2 at a temperature of 32-34 °C. After some recordings (Supplementary Fig. 3), the slices were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and immunohistochemical staining was performed using rabbit anti-calbindin (ab11426, Abcam) as the primary antibody and donkey F(ab')2 anti-rabbit IgG (Alexa Fluor 488, ab150069, Abcam) as the secondary antibody^{51,52}.

Electrophysiology and pharmacology. Somatic whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were obtained from stellate and pyramidal cells in layer 2 of medial entorhinal cortex. Stellate and pyramidal cells were identified by their somatodendritic morphology and their characteristic electrophysiological properties^{19,25,26,36,53–56}. Current-clamp recordings were acquired with a MultiClamp 700B amplifier at a sampling rate of 50 kHz using custom software written in Matlab. Patch pipettes of 5-M Ω resistance were filled with internal solution containing (in mM): KMeSO_4 140, HEPES 10, KCl 7.4, MgCl₂ 0.3, EGTA 0.1, NaGTP 0.3, Na₂ATP 3 and sodium phosphocreatine 1. Alexa Fluor 594 (50 $\mu M)$ was added to this solution to visualize cell morphology. Series resistance of the recordings was usually less than 30 M Ω . In some recordings, 50 µM D-AP5 and 0.5 µM TTX were added to the regular ACSF solution to block NMDA receptors and voltage-gated sodium channels, respectively. All extracellular solutions were equilibrated with carbogen (95% O_2 / 5% CO_2) and had a pH of 7.3. Miniature EPSPs (mEPSPs; Supplementary Fig. 1) were measured in the presence of 0.5 μM TTX in the recording solution. The detection threshold for mEPSPs was defined as $dV/dt \ge 1 \text{ mV/ms}$.

To produce membrane potential ramps *in vitro* that mimicked membrane potential dynamics *in vivo* (**Supplementary Fig. 6**), we first applied the mean *in vivo* membrane potential waveform that we had previously recorded from stellate cells during firing field crossings¹⁸ as a voltage clamp command in n = 5 stellate cells *in vitro*. The resulting clamp-current waveform was then averaged across recordings and injected as a current-clamp command to produce an *in vivo*-like voltage ramp. For voltage-clamp recordings (**Supplementary Fig. 17**), pipettes were filled with internal solution containing (in mM): CsMeSO₄ 135, HEPES 10, EGTA 10, NaGTP 0.3, Na₂ATP 2 and MgATP 2. Series resistance was less than 15 M Ω . EPSCs were evoked by extracellular stimulation in layer I of MEC while holding MECII stellate cells at a potential of +40 mV. NMDAR-mediated EPSCs were isolated by blocking GABA_A receptors with SR95531 (20 μ M) and AMPA receptors with NBQX (10 μ M).

Two-photon imaging and uncaging. Simultaneous two-photon imaging and two-photon glutamate uncaging was performed with two Ti:sapphire lasers tuned to 810 nm and 720–730 nm for imaging and uncaging, respectively. To visualize dendrites and dendritic spines, cells were loaded with a fluorescent dye (50 μ M Alexa Fluor 594) added to the pipette solution. Healthy dendrites close to the surface of the slice were selected for uncaging. MNI-caged-L-glutamate (24 mM, Tocris) was dissolved in a solution containing (in mM): NaCl 125, glucose 25, KCl 2.5, HEPES 10, CaCl₂ 2 and MgCl₂ 1 and applied locally via a glass pipette (tip diameter ${\sim}10\,\mu\text{m}$). Multiple spines were selected in a randomized manner within a maximal distance of ${\sim}50\,\mu m$ on a single dendritic branch. gluEPSPs were first evoked by stimulating each spine individually at 300-ms intervals. We then stimulated an increasing number of synapses at short time intervals (0.6-8 ms, as indicated), with a 10-s pause between each trial. To estimate the expected linear summation of gluEPSPs, we first shifted individual membrane potential traces according to the corresponding experimental stimulation interval (0.6-8 ms for each trace) before computing the sum. Uncaging laser exposure time was 0.5 ms. The laser power was adjusted to produce gluEPSPs that were comparable to sEPSPs

recorded in the same cell (**Supplementary Fig. 1**). Uncaging timing and location were controlled by custom software written in Matlab. Experiments were terminated if photodamage to the dendrite was observed (for example, swelling of the dendrite) or depolarization of the membrane potential was detected. Recordings from neurons with photodamaged dendrites were excluded from analysis. Recordings were also excluded if the slice exhibited physical drift due to slice swelling or inconsistency of perfusion. This was detected either by imaging or by sudden large changes (usually decreases) in EPSP amplitude.

Data analysis. Data analysis was performed with custom code written in Python⁵⁷. Nonlinearity, *D*, of each experiment was quantified by

$$D = \sum_{i=3}^{n} \frac{\frac{M_i}{L_i} - 1}{n-2} \cdot 100\%$$
(1)

where M_i is the amplitude of the measured EPSP, L_i is the amplitude of the EPSP constructed by linear summation of single synapse EPSPs, and n is the maximal number of synapses activated. Slow dendritic spikes were detected if the non-linearity of the EPSP integral was 33% larger than the nonlinearity of the EPSP amplitude. A fast dendritic spike was defined as a step-like increase (>50%) in a plot of maximal dV/dt against the number of uncaging locations (**Fig. 2** and **Supplementary Fig. 3**).

To examine whether signatures of active dendritic integration can be found in grid cells in vivo, we analyzed in vivo patch-clamp recordings from MECII neurons¹⁸. The full membrane potential ($V_{\rm m}$) recording duration from n = 6 putative grid cells was used for this analysis. Peaks were identified in differentiated $V_{\rm m}$ traces (dV/dt) by detecting local maxima that exceeded 0.1 mV/ms within time windows of 200 μ s. The analysis was restricted to subthreshold $V_{\rm m}$ by excluding parts of traces where dV/dt exceeded 5% of the maximal rate of rise of action potentials of each recording. Local $V_{\rm m}$ peaks corresponding to these dV/dt peaks were detected in high-pass-filtered $V_{\rm m}$ traces ($f_{\rm c}$ = 10 Hz) within 5 ms following each dV/dt peak (Fig. 3b,c). dV/dt peaks were binned according to the phase of theta membrane potential oscillations (theta MPOs) during which they occurred. Theta MPOs were obtained by bandpass-filtering $V_{\rm m}$ between 5 and 10 Hz; 0° corresponds to the peak of theta MPOs. For each theta MPO bin with a width of 45° (as indicated), mean and s.d. of all dV/dt peaks were computed for each recording (Fig. 3d-g). Moreover, for each theta MPO bin with a width of 90° (as indicated), dV/dt peaks that exceeded the mean of all dV/dt peaks within a given bin by 1.5 s.d. were computed for each recording (Fig. 3f,g). These particularly fast peaks are indicated by red symbols in Figure 3. According to these criteria, the lowest threshold for these fast peaks was typically a maximal rate of rise of ~0.4 mV/ms (Fig. 3d). Thus, these fast in vivo events were characterized by maximal rates of rise resembling or exceeding the maximal rates of rise of fast dendritic spikes recorded in vitro (typically ~0.4 mV/ms; Fig. 2a).

We analyzed plateau potentials across both somatic¹⁸ and putative dendritic in vivo patch-clamp recordings from MECII neurons. Plateau potentials were defined as sustained depolarizations following action potentials with a full-widthat-half-maximal amplitude exceeding 15 ms. These criteria were chosen to identify plateau potentials that closely resembled published examples (for example, Bittner *et al.*¹¹). Action potentials were detected when dV/dt exceeded 30 mV/ms. We did not observe any obvious isolated plateau potentials without a preceding action potential in our data set. We analyzed a total of n = 58 recordings that produced trains of action potentials in response to depolarizing 1-s current injections. Recordings were categorized into putative dendritic and putative somatic recordings according to input resistance (R_{in}) and 20–80% rise time of action potentials $(t_{20-80})^6$ (putative somatic: $t_{20-80} < 0.2$ ms and $R_{in} < 80$ M Ω ; putative dendritic: $t_{20-80} > 0.3$ ms and $R_{in} > 120$ MΩ). The frequency of plateau potentials did not depend on recording parameters such as resting membrane potential or seal resistance (Supplementary Fig. 11), indicating that recording quality did not affect their occurrence. To measure action potential kinetics (Fig. 4 and Supplementary Fig. 12), we used the first action potential that was evoked by the lowest suprathreshold sustained current injection.

Data are presented as mean \pm s.e.m., unless stated otherwise. Error bars for dendritic spike proportions represent the s.d. of bootstrap analyses of the experimental data set (1,000 repeats). Statistical significance of continuous data was assessed using two-sided Mann-Whitney *U* tests and Wilcoxon signed-rank tests for unpaired and paired data, respectively. Statistical significance of dendritic spike proportions was assessed using Fisher's exact test. Analyses of variance (ANOVA) were performed when more than two groups were tested. Differences were considered statistically significant when P < 0.05. No correction for multiple comparisons was applied⁵⁸. No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample sizes, but our sample sizes are similar to those reported in previous publications^{11,17,18}. Data collection and analysis were not performed blind to the conditions of the experiments.

Compartmental modeling. Studying phase precession required us to model the precise timing of action potentials produced by oscillatory synaptic inputs. To achieve realistic predictions of membrane potential trajectories, we therefore decided to use a detailed compartmental model implemented in NEURON⁵⁹ (**Figs. 3** and **6** and **Supplementary Figs. 8** and **20**). We used a reconstructed morphology of a mouse MEC stellate cell²⁵ and HCN channel gating kinetics based on experimental data from stellate cells⁶⁰. Voltage-gated potassium (Kv) and sodium (Nav) channel kinetics were adopted from a CA1 pyramidal cell model⁶¹. Active conductance densities, axial resistivity (R_i), specific membrane resistance (R_m) and capacitance (C_m) were fitted to reproduce the experimentally determined mean f-I relationship, input resistance, sag ratio, sag time-constant, membrane time-constant, resting membrane potential and afterhyperpolarization amplitude using a genetic algorithm (NSGAII)^{62–64} (**Supplementary Table 1**).

Subthreshold synaptic input–output curves (**Supplementary Fig. 8b**) were produced by first finding all sites on the dendritic tree that were located 175 μ m from the soma. We then performed simulations that closely followed our experimental protocol (**Fig. 1**): at each site, we distributed 20 synaptic conductances within 25 μ m. We then activated individual synaptic conductance changes in isolation and computed the linear sums of the individual responses. Next, we stimulated an increasing number of synaptic conductance changes at 1-ms intervals and plotted the amplitudes of the measured responses against the amplitudes of the linear sums. This procedure was repeated for each site to yield a set of input–output curves. The analysis of simulated data was identical to that of experimental data.

To determine the effect of dendritic Nav channels on phase precession (**Fig. 6** and **Supplementary Fig. 19**), we used a modeling and analysis strategy similar to one described previously¹⁸. In brief, we converted the synaptic inputs of ratebased neurons in a CAN model (see below) into discrete events driving synaptic conductance changes in our compartmental stellate cell model. Feedforward excitation was provided by six directional velocity-controlled oscillating (VCO) inputs that were only active when the current running direction matched the VCO's preferred direction \pm 90° (ref. 65).

Rate-based modeling (Fig. 5 and **Supplementary Fig. 15)**. Previous work has suggested that the mechanisms underlying the rate code of grid cell firing are best explained by a continuous attractor network (CAN) model^{17,18,66}. We implemented a CAN model in a sheet of 128×128 neurons with periodic boundaries building on a previous implementation (courtesy of Y. Burak and I. Fiete¹³). This rate-based model allowed us to efficiently test the effect of a large range of parameters on network performance, which is essential for exploring the effects of a large range of noise amplitudes. The dynamics of rate-based neurons was defined by

$$s_i = \begin{cases} k_V V_i & \text{for } V_i \ge 0\\ 0 & \text{for } V_i < 0 \end{cases}$$
 with (2)

$$\tau \frac{dV_i}{dt} + V_i = (1 + k_{\text{NMDA}} (p_i - 0.5))(I_i + B_i + \xi_i) \text{ and}$$
 (3)

$$U_i = \sum_j W_{ij} s_j \tag{4}$$

where s_i represents the firing rate of neuron i, V_i represents the membrane potential of neuron i, $\tau = 10$ ms is the integration time constant of the neural response, $k_{\text{NMDA}} = 0.4$ (unless indicated otherwise) is the relative strength of NMDARs, p_i is the fraction of open NMDARs in neuron i (equations (8) and (9)), I_i is inhibitory recurrent input to neuron i, B_i is excitatory feedforward input to neuron i, W_{ij} is the synaptic weight from neuron j to neuron i, and ξ_i is colored

synaptic noise (equations (10)–(12)). By subtracting 0.5 from p_i , mean network firing rates were kept approximately constant across simulations. For a threshold-linear implementation of the model without NMDARs, we set $k_{\text{NMDA}} = 0$. The gain of the neural transfer function, k_{V_i} was set to 0.88 (unless indicated otherwise), which minimized drift and velocity error for $k_{\text{NMDA}} = 0$. This strategy was chosen to ensure that reductions of drift and velocity error by NMDARs could not be explained by a simple linear gain change. The implementation of NMDARs as a multiplicative term is consistent with predictions of NMDAR recruitment in a compartmental model (**Supplementary Fig. 9**). B_i was defined by

$$B_i = A_B \left(1 + \alpha \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\phi_i} \cdot \mathbf{v} \right) \tag{5}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}_i}$ is the unit vector pointing along neuron *i*'s preferred direction ϕ_i (one of W, N, S or E), **v** is the animal velocity vector in m s⁻¹, and α was set to 0.0825. The recurrent weight matrix was purely inhibitory in our implementation:

$$W_{ij} = W_0 \left(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j - l \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\phi_i} \right) \text{ with }$$
(6)

$$W_0(\mathbf{x}) = A_W \left(e^{-\gamma |x|^2} - e^{-\beta |x|^2} \right)$$
(7)

where \mathbf{x}_i is neuron *i*'s location in the neural sheet and ranges from (-64, -64) to (64, 64). In our implementation, we used l = 2, $\gamma = 1.02\beta$, $\beta = 3\lambda^{-2}$ and $\lambda = 13$. $A_B = 10$ and $A_W = 10$ are scaling factors that were chosen to compensate for the 'notau' option in the original implementation. As in Burak and Fiete¹³, we computed the animal velocity vector **v** from an experimentally determined rat trajectory¹².

It is currently unknown how spatially modulated excitatory and inhibitory inputs are distributed on the dendritic tree of grid cells. To implement a model that applies to a large range of potential synaptic input configurations, we therefore used a generalized approach in which we subject the sum of all synaptic inputs to a single function, which can take a nonlinear form.

The dynamics of NMDAR open probability p_i in neuron *i* was computed as follows:

$$\tau_{\text{NMDA}} \frac{\mathrm{d}p_i}{\mathrm{d}t} + p_i = p_{\infty} \left(V_i \right) \text{ with}$$
(8)

$$p_{\infty}(V) = 1 - \frac{1}{\frac{V - c_{\text{NMDA}}}{1 + e^{\frac{W - c_{\text{NMDA}}}{m_{\text{NMDA}}}}}}$$
(9)

where the steady-state open probability, $p_{\infty}(V)$, was assumed to be a sigmoid function of membrane potential *V* with center $c_{\text{NMDA}} = 0.1$ and slope factor $m_{\text{NMDA}} = 0.2$, yielding a neural transfer function that qualitatively matched the experimentally determined dendritic input–output curves. Unless indicated otherwise, τ_{NMDA} was set to 50 ms, in agreement with our experimental data (**Supplementary Fig. 17**).

Synaptic noise, ξ_i , was implemented as an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process using an exact update rule for an integration time step, $h^{67,68}$:

$$\xi_i = \xi_{i,\text{exc}} - \xi_{i,\text{inh}} \quad \text{with} \tag{10}$$

$$\xi_{i,\text{exc}}(t+h) = \xi_{\text{exc},0} + \left(\xi_{i,\text{exc}}(t) - \xi_{\text{exc},0}(t)\right)e^{-h/\tau_{\text{exc}}} + A_{\text{exc}}G_{1} \text{ and}$$
(11)

$$\xi_{i,\text{inh}}(t+h) = \xi_{\text{inh},0} + \left(\xi_{i,\text{inh}}(t) - \xi_{\text{inh},0}(t)\right)e^{-h/\tau_{\text{inh}}} + A_{\text{inh}}G_2$$
(12)

where $\xi_{i,\text{exc}}$ and $\xi_{j,\text{inh}}$ are excitatory and inhibitory synaptic noise, respectively; $\xi_{\text{exc},0}$ and $\xi_{\text{inh},0}$ are average synaptic noise; τ_{exc} and τ_{inh} are synaptic time constants; G_1 and G_2 are random numbers drawn from a normal distribution with mean and unit standard deviation. A_{exc} and A_{inh} are amplitude coefficients given by

$$A_{\text{exc}} = \sqrt{\frac{D_{\text{exc}}\tau_{\text{exc}}}{2} \left(1 - e^{-2h/\tau_{\text{exc}}}\right)} \text{ and}$$
(13)

$$A_{\rm inh} = \sqrt{\frac{D_{\rm inh}\tau_{\rm inh}}{2} \left(1 - e^{-2h/\tau_{\rm inh}}\right)}$$
(14)

where D_{exc} and D_{inh} are noise diffusion coefficients. Excitatory and inhibitory noise were assumed to be symmetric in our simulations, with $\tau_{\text{exc}} = \tau_{\text{inh}} = 2$ ms. Noise diffusion coefficients and average synaptic noise were set as high as possible without disrupting the periodic activity bumps in the CAN when the original simulation parameters from Burak and Fiete¹³ were used ($\xi_{\text{exc},0} = \xi_{\text{inh},0} = 1.2$, $D_{\text{exc}} = D_{\text{inh}} = 0.04$, yielding a ratio similar to ξ_0 /D, as in Destexhe *et al.*⁶⁷).

Integrate-and-fire neurons (Supplementary Fig. 16). An implementation of a CAN model using integrate-and-fire neurons was adopted from Pastoll *et al.*³⁴. Topology and connectivity of the model were adopted from the original implementation: the network consisted of 68×58 excitatory and 34×30 inhibitory neurons interconnected in an 'E-surround' configuration³⁴. Constant excitatory input currents to the excitatory neurons in the original model (Iext_e_const and Iext_e_theta) were replaced by mixed AMPAR/NMDAR-type synapses driven by Poisson spike trains at 1 kHz. The dynamics of the synapses was defined by

$$\tau_{\rm AMPA} \, \frac{dg_{\rm AMPA}}{dt} + g_{\rm AMPA} = 0 \tag{15}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}g_{\mathrm{NMDA}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{g_{\mathrm{NMDA}}}{\tau_{\mathrm{NMDA},\mathrm{decay}}} + \alpha_{\mathrm{NMDA}} x \left(1 - g_{\mathrm{NMDA}}\right) \text{ and } (16)$$

$$\tau_{\rm NMDA, rise} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} + x = 0 \tag{17}$$

where g_{AMPA} and g_{NMDA} are the AMPAR and NMDAR conductances, $\alpha_{NMDA} = 0.5$ defines NMDAR saturation, $\tau_{NMDA,rise} = 2$ ms and $\tau_{NMDA,decay} =$ 100 ms are the rise and decay time constants of NMDAR, and *x* is the activation gating variable of NMDARs. For each presynaptic spike occurring at time t_1 , the synapse activity was updated from its previous state at time t_0 according to the following equations:

$$x(t_1) = x(t_0) + wp_{\infty}(V) \text{ and}$$
(18)

$$g_{\text{AMPA}}(t_1) = g_{\text{AMPA}}(t_0) + w \tag{19}$$

where w = 1 is the synaptic weight and $p_{\infty}(V)$ is the steady-state open probability of the NMDAR (equation (9)). Total synaptic conductance was computed as $g_{AMPA} + k_{NMDA}g_{NMDA}$. The maximal total conductance was set to 2.3 nS. A version of an AMPAR/NMDAR-type synapse without Mg²⁺ block was implemented by setting $p_{\infty}(V) = 1$ in equation (18).

Spatial rate maps of model neurons were discretized into 1-cm × 1-cm bins. No smoothing of the data was performed. To quantify spatial periodicity ('gridness'), we first calculated the spatial autocorrelation for rate maps of each model neuron²¹. We then selected a centered ring-shaped region of interest from the autocorrelogram that included peaks closest to the center but excluded the central peak. We next rotated this ring in steps of 1° and at each step computed the correlation coefficient of the rotated with the original ring. We then determined maximal correlation values at 60° and 120° rotation ($r_{max,60}$ and $r_{max,120}$)

and minimal correlation values at 30°, 90° and 150° rotation ($r_{\min,30}$, $r_{\min,90}$ and $r_{\min,150}$). Gridness was then determined as $\min(r_{\max,60}$, $r_{\max,120}$) – $\max(r_{\min,30}$, $r_{\min,90}$, $r_{\min,150}$)²¹. Mean gridness values were computed for ten randomly selected model neurons.

Code and data availability. Data analysis and simulation code are available on GitHub (https://github.com/neurodroid/SH2017; access available upon request). The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

A Supplementary Methods Checklist is available.

- Kitamura, T. et al. Island cells control temporal association memory. Science 343, 896–901 (2014).
- Ray, S. *et al.* Grid-layout and theta-modulation of layer 2 pyramidal neurons in medial entorhinal cortex. *Science* 343, 891–896 (2014).
- Alonso, A. & Llinás, R.R. Subthreshold Na+-dependent theta-like rhythmicity in stellate cells of entorhinal cortex layer II. *Nature* 342, 175–177 (1989).
- Dickson, C.T. *et al.* Properties and role of I(h) in the pacing of subthreshold oscillations in entorhinal cortex layer II neurons. *J. Neurophysiol.* 83, 2562–2579 (2000).
- Erchova, I., Kreck, G., Heinemann, U. & Herz, A.V.M. Dynamics of rat entorhinal cortex layer II and III cells: characteristics of membrane potential resonance at rest predict oscillation properties near threshold. *J. Physiol. (Lond.)* 560, 89–110 (2004).
- Pastoll, H., Ramsden, H.L. & Nolan, M.F. Intrinsic electrophysiological properties of entorhinal cortex stellate cells and their contribution to grid cell firing fields. *Front. Neural Circuits* 6, 17 (2012).
- Guzman, S.J., Schlögl, A. & Schmidt-Hieber, C. Stimfit: quantifying electrophysiological data with Python. Front. Neuroinform. 8, 16 (2014).
- Saville, D.J. Multiple comparison procedures: the practical solution. Am. Stat. 44, 174–180 (1990).
- 59. Carnevale, N.T. & Hines, M.L. The NEURON Book (Cambridge University Press, 2006).
- Fransén, E., Alonso, A.A., Dickson, C.T., Magistretti, J. & Hasselmo, M.E. Ionic mechanisms in the generation of subthreshold oscillations and action potential clustering in entorhinal layer II stellate neurons. *Hippocampus* 14, 368–384 (2004).
- Katz, Y. et al. Synapse distribution suggests a two-stage model of dendritic integration in CA1 pyramidal neurons. *Neuron* 63, 171–177 (2009).
- Bahl, A., Stemmler, M.B., Herz, A.V.M. & Roth, A. Automated optimization of a reduced layer 5 pyramidal cell model based on experimental data. *J. Neurosci. Methods* 210, 22–34 (2012).
- 63. Deb, K. Multi-objective Optimization Using Evolutionary Algorithms (Wiley, 2001).
- Schmidt-Hieber, C. & Bischofberger, J. Fast sodium channel gating supports localized and efficient axonal action potential initiation. *J. Neurosci.* 30, 10233–10242 (2010).
- Burgess, N. Grid cells and theta as oscillatory interference: theory and predictions. *Hippocampus* 18, 1157–1174 (2008).
- Yoon, K. et al. Specific evidence of low-dimensional continuous attractor dynamics in grid cells. Nat. Neurosci. 16, 1077–1084 (2013).
- Destexhe, A., Rudolph, M., Fellous, J.M. & Sejnowski, T.J. Fluctuating synaptic conductances recreate *in vivo*-like activity in neocortical neurons. *Neuroscience* **107**, 13–24 (2001).
- Gillespie, D.T. Exact numerical simulation of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process and its integral. *Phys. Rev. E Stat. Phys. Plasmas Fluids Relat. Interdiscip. Topics* 54, 2084–2091 (1996).